

KDD019 - Simplified Segmental IFRS Reporting in S/4HANA

Status	Approved
Owner	BECHTER-ext, Alex
Stakeholders	ZAPONNE-ext, Antonio TAMIOLAKIS-ext, Emmanouel TONDO, Mario ZHANG, Ling (Frances) MADJARIAN, Gilles

Issue

Segmental balance sheet reporting is an IFRS disclosure requirement. At Syensqo, segmental reporting requirements as per IAS rules are met via different techniques using a combination of different reporting dimensions (e.g. business area, profit centre, etc.) across the various SAP systems in use. Critical key components of the balance sheet such as working capital or invested capital need to be reported at segment level, for managerial purposes it is also necessary to be able to report on those at a lower level than the segment (e.g. by profit centre). Accurate reporting at the required levels is only possible at period-end as additional month-end activities are required to segregate the balance sheet positions by the respective reporting dimensions. Accurate reporting of other balance sheet positions at a different level than the company code requires additional processing of underlying data from the source systems.

Document Splitting is a functionality in S/4 HANA which allows an organization to report complete and sound Financial Statements at a different level than the company code out of SAP in real-time. Introducing document splitting brings about substantial benefits for business users from a reporting perspective but also increases the complexity of the solution as strict data integrity checks are being performed by the system for Financial Accounting documents to ensure that the principle of zero-balanced Financial Accounting documents and consequently also Financial Statements at the level of the defined splitting criteria (e.g. Profit Centre) never gets broken at any given point in time.

Switching on document splitting in a live environment requires data migration activities which can be quite complex and time-consuming. Switching on document splitting also has implications on integrated modules and data migration activities so it is advisable to decide on the usage of document splitting upfront in a greenfield project.

This KDD is therefore evaluating the pros and cons of using document splitting in the to-be solution to be built on S/4 HANA and a recommendation will be given on the preferred setup.

Recommendation

With Syensqo being a publicly listed company, compliance with IFRS reporting standards is a legal requirement for the company. IAS8 mandates segmental reporting for companies to be fully IFRS-compliant in their external reporting to the markets. The state-of-the-art tool in S/4 HANA to support segmental reporting requirements out of the system is 'Document Splitting'.

Furthermore, the activation of document splitting at a later stage once a company code is in productive use in an S/4 HANA system with potentially multiple ledgers, valuations and parallel currencies running is a complex endeavor and requires deep technical and functional expertise and heavy involvement of business users for reconciliation purposes. The challenges in terms of integration and data migration during the initial setup are manageable but needs to be factored into the designs and approaches.

The recommended option is therefore **Option A - Activate Document Splitting in S/4 HANA**

Background & Context

In response to segmental reporting requirements IFRS imposed on companies required to report their Financials in accordance with the rules and regulations stipulated in the IAS framework, SAP developed a functionality which allows companies to produce complete and fully-compliant Financial Statements in the system at a different level than the company code (e.g. at Segment or Profit Centre level) which removed a major constraint from legacy SAP systems in terms of reporting capabilities for many SAP customers around the world. This functionality has been labeled and marketed by SAP under the name 'Document Splitting' since its initial launch in the early 2000s.

Document splitting relies first and foremost on the definition of so called zero-balancing reporting criteria/characteristics - these are reporting dimensions below (or above) the company code at which the system supports the creation of zero-balanced and fully auditable Financial Statements. Commonly used dimensions as zero-balancing reporting criteria are 'Profit Centre' or 'Segment', for example.

Document splitting at its core is a General Ledger solution and is fully compatible with the [parallel ledger approach](#) introduced in the new General Ledger Accounting solution meaning once enabled it will ensure the same level of data consistency across all active ledgers in the General Ledger Accounting module (leading and non-leading ledgers). To assure these levels of consistency and reporting accuracy across the General Ledger, the system is forced to apply more stringent data integrity checks for all transactional data updating the ledgers. The system needs to be able to derive or inherit the zero-balancing characteristics for each and every line item in the accounting document. This can be achieved via document splitting rules, built-in inheritance functionalities or ideally via explicit data provisioning of the zero-balancing characteristics from the sending modules.

Example of a Financial Accounting document where document splitting balances out an outgoing invoice based on the revenue account assignment objects (Profit Centre) which is defined as zero-balancing reporting characteristic in the system:

<u>Entry View</u>			<u>GL View</u>		
Account	Profit Center	Amount	Account	Profit Center	Amount
Accounts Receivable		700	Accounts Receivable	101	300
Revenue	101	(300)	Accounts Receivable	102	400
Revenue	102	(400)	Revenue	101	(300)
			Revenue	102	(400)

Example of a Financial Accounting document where document splitting completes a Financial Accounting document through inheritance of the zero-balancing reporting characteristic:

<u>Entry View</u>			<u>GL View</u>		
Account	Profit Center	Amount	Account	Profit Center	Amount
Cash		700	Cash	101	700
Expense	101	(300)	Expense	101	(300)
Expense	101	(400)	Expense	101	(400)

Strict master data governance is key during data migration (please refer to section 'Impact' for further details on this aspect) and also after the initial implementation to ensure that document splitting is not causing disruptions to ongoing business operations.

Document Splitting requires careful assessment of all types of documents posted in the system to ensure that the correct base items are identified for splitting the respective balance sheet items. While this is relatively straight-forward for Accounts Payable (Accounts Payable is typically split based on the expense/GRIR legs of the document) and Accounts Receivable (Accounts Receivable is typically split based on revenue legs available in the document), other parts of the Balance Sheet may not require splitting at all (e.g. Tax is often a corporate function and doesn't require a split by zero-balancing characteristic used in the corresponding expense/revenue lines) or require a more complicated splitting rule to be defined in order to produce the required journal entries (e.g. cross-company postings often require special configurations especially if sub-ledger accounts are used as I/C clearing lines). This process of identifying all posting scenarios and determining the correct splitting rules for each scenario is relatively time-consuming and must be addressed early in the detailed design phase of a project.

Assumptions

- Syensqo will move to S/4HANA as a greenfield implementation. Transactional Finance data from the old ECC systems will be converted using a posting approach into S/4 HANA.

Constraints

- Should the data conversion approach be an S/4 HANA conversion instead of a greenfield conversion using a posting approach, the recommendation put forth may need to be revisited as it brings about increased complexity to the conversion approach.

Impacts

- **Materials Management/Sales:** All materials set up in the system require profit centre assignments.
- **Infrastructure:** No impact - Document Splitting is part of the standard S/4 licensing package.
- **Basis/Security:** No business functions or add-ons need to be installed. Likewise no special requirements arise from a Security perspective. The volume of line items in the database tables is expected to increase from switching on document splitting moderately.
- **ABAP/Technical:** No impact - Document Splitting is a SAP standard functionality that allows for flexible configurations to cater to various business scenarios.
- **Data Migration / Data Cleansing:**
 - **Material Master:** All materials must be assigned to a profit centre in S/4 HANA.
 - **Open POs:** All stock POs must be migrated with a profit centre maintained in EKPO.
 - **Projects:** All migrated WBS elements must be tagged to a profit centre and responsible or requesting cost centre.
 - **Fixed Assets:** All Fixed Assets must be tagged to a cost centre, also Assets under Construction.
- **HCM:** As per recommendation by SAP, document splitting for payroll postings should occur upstream within the HCM system. In an outsourced payroll situation as at Syensqo, this may impact the interface from the payroll system which performs financial postings into S/4HANA.

Business Rules

- All materials need to be assigned to a profit centre.

Options considered

Option A: Activate Document Splitting in S/4 HANA (with zero-balancing characteristics)

In this option, Document Splitting is activated by default for all entities set up in S/4 HANA with zero-balancing characteristics being defined in the early stages of the detailed design phase.

This gives Syensqo the ability to report complete Financial Statements at the required level of granularity to meet external Financial compliance or internal management reporting requirements at any given point in time, not just at period-end. Document splitting is the best practice approach in S/4 HANA to deal with segmental reporting requirements and has been around for nearly 20 years with many customers around the world using it so it can be considered a fully matured SAP standard product. It still requires expert knowledge for its initial implementation which can be complex due to its powerful capabilities but once implemented and tailored to the needs of the business it is a reliable solution requiring only manageable maintenance efforts to keep it up and running.

It also future-proofs the solution as a retrospective activation of document splitting in a productive environment is complex to manage and execute requiring dedicated resources and project management.

Option B: Disable Document Splitting in S/4 HANA

In this option, Document Splitting is switched off by default for company codes set up in S/4 HANA.

Compliance with segmental reporting requirements as per IFRS rules and other balance sheet reporting requirements at a different level than the company code (e.g. Working Capital by GBU) required for statutory or group reporting purposes may still be possible using outdated and often error-prone technologies such as balance sheets by business area which works for some parts of the balance sheet but not for all and requires additional month-end activities to achieve the desired split. The results of these activities are often lacking accuracy and often require manual adjustments subsequently.

A switch to Document Splitting at a later stage is a challenging project in a live environment as highlighted numerous times in this KDD. As such this option also has drawbacks from a future-proof perspective.

Evaluation

The below table provides a summary of the pros and cons of each option explained in the above section for both key design decisions, cost and compliance considerations and how each option scores with view to the below five key pillars of the overarching project principles:

- **Standardisation:** SAP Standard and best practices with harmonized and standardized business processes out of a single system are the preferred solution in S/4 HANA.
- **Simplification:** The solution design should be intuitive and easy to follow for end-users leading to higher adoption of the proposed to-be solutions.
- **Future-Proof:** The to-be solution needs to be future-proof and should strive to be in line with target designs from SAP in S/4 HANA.
- **Process Discipline:** The to-be solution should help the business to achieve better process discipline steering users away from off-the-system calculations and documentations.
- **Change Management:** Change impact must remain manageable in the to-be solution.

Weighting (H/M/L)	Option A - Activate Document Splitting in S/4 HANA	Option B - Disable Document Splitting in S/4 HANA
----------------------	---	--

Pros and Cons		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊕ State-of-the-art solution from SAP to comply with segmental reporting requirements stipulated by international accounting standards (e.g. IFRS). ⊕ Built-in system controls to ensure zero-balanced Financial Statements can be generated in real-time out of the system at the required levels of granularity. ⊕ No month-end adjustments required to achieve reporting compliance. ⊕ Mature SAP standard solution, introduced in the early 2000s and used by many SAP customers around the world running on newGL or S/4 HANA. ⊕ Future-proofs the solution with view to reporting capabilities out of the system. Retrospective activations of document splitting in a live S/4 HANA system are complex projects. ⊖ Complexities in the initial implementation leading to higher implementation efforts (e.g. data migration, integration, definition of valid splitting rules, etc.) ⊖ Users will need to be trained on the new features and reporting options to maximize its benefits to the users. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⊕ Lower complexity in the initial implementation (e.g. as-is migration of data from ECC systems possible, no configuration requirements). ⊕ No training or change management requirements as new features introduced by document splitting remain disabled leading to similar Financial Accounting documents/reporting abilities as in ECC. ⊖ Compliance with segmental reporting requirements not system-ensured and only fulfilled at period-end after month-end adjustments. ⊖ SAP capabilities to support segmental reporting requirements in systems without active document splitting are outdated and may be nearing their end of lives from a supportability perspective (e.g. Financial Statements by business area, etc.) ⊖ Outdated capabilities to achieve segmental reporting in systems without active document splitting are error-prone and often require manual intervention via adjustment entries at period-end or direct adjustments to reports outside the system leading to higher reconciliation efforts. ⊖ Business area as a splitting dimension for Balance Sheet no longer supported in UPA (refer to SAP note 3191636 for further details). ⊖ A later activation of document splitting in a productive environment brings about complexities that are resource-intensive for the involved IT as well as business departments.
Costs (Score)	H	Low	High
Compliance	H	High	Medium
Standardisation	M	High	Medium
Simplification	M	High	Low
Future-Proof	M	High	Low
Process Discipline	M	High	Medium
Change Management	L	Medium	High

See also

[KDD018 - GAAP Ledgers and Currency Types](#)

File	Modified
File KDD - Document Splitting.eml Approval from HoF	Aug 23, 2024 by BECHTER-ext, Alex

Change log

Version	Published	Changed By	Comment
CURRENT (v. 45)	Aug 20, 2024 01:37	BECHTER-ext, Alex	
v. 44	Aug 01, 2024 07:20	WENNINGER-ext, Sascha	
v. 43	Aug 01, 2024 07:18	WENNINGER-ext, Sascha	

v. 42	Aug 01, 2024 07:17	WENNINGER-ext, Sascha
v. 41	Aug 01, 2024 06:30	BECHTER-ext, Alex
v. 40	Jul 31, 2024 13:05	WENNINGER-ext, Sascha
v. 39	Jul 31, 2024 12:52	BECHTER-ext, Alex
v. 38	Jul 31, 2024 10:51	BECHTER-ext, Alex
v. 37	Jul 31, 2024 09:09	WENNINGER-ext, Sascha
v. 36	Jul 23, 2024 14:45	BECHTER-ext, Alex

[Go to Page History](#)



Workflow history

Title	Last Updated By	Updated	Status
-------	-----------------	---------	--------

There are no pages at the moment.

Workflow history

This view shows the 5 most recent entries. The complete workflow log is available from the 'Document Activity' menu item.

Sept 10, 2024	Actor	Type	Activity	Version
Approved	 FALL-ext, Cheikh	State	changed state to Approved at 1:52 pm	v45
Pending SteerCo Review	 FALL-ext, Cheikh	State	gave <i>Final Approval</i> approval at 1:52 pm	
		State	changed expiry date to '24 Sept, 2024 01:52 pm' at 1:52 pm	
		State	changed state to Pending SteerCo Review at 1:52 pm	v45
Pending Stakeholder Review	 FALL-ext, Cheikh	State	gave <i>Stakeholder Review</i> approval at 1:52 pm	
		State	changed expiry date to '17 Sept, 2024 01:52 pm' at 1:52 pm	
		State	changed state to Pending Stakeholder Review at 1:52 pm	v45
Edited following DA Endorsement	 FALL-ext, Cheikh	State	gave <i>Minor change</i> approval at 1:52 pm	
Aug 20, 2024	 BECHTER-ext, Alex	Edit	updated the page at 1:37 am	